1	
2	
3	
4	
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	
8 In Re No. C-11-4358 EMC (pr)	
9 ANSAR MUHAMMAD No. C-11-4433 EMC (pr) No. C-11-4434 EMC (pr) No. C-11-4434 EMC (pr) No. C-11-4889 EMC (pr)	
Plaintiff. ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
12	
On April 2, 2012, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address h	no provided on his
complaint and was returned undelivered on April 9, 2012, marked "return to sende	•
complaint and was returned underivered on April 9, 2012, marked return to sende 15 custody." More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Co	
Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party	
must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of	
Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(	C
Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a <i>pro se</i> part	
not deliverable and the <i>pro se</i> party fails to send a current address within sixty day	
the undelivered mail. These four actions are dismissed without prejudice because	
keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The contract of the court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a).	he Clerk shall
close the files.	
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	
26 Dated: June 22, 2012	
27 EDWS ALL CHIEF	
28 EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge	